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Current demand system

• **Strengths:**
  – Flexible: CDE Calibrates to own-price and income elasticities of private demand
  – Two level theory: CD-CDE is worked out (elasticity of expenditure wrt utility drives changes in top level shares)
  – Robust: it has been used for 15 years!

• **Limitations:**
  – Ltd ability to capture behavior across wide range of per capita incomes; e.g., CDE luxuries today remain luxuries forever
  – Negative net national savings is problematic for CD top level
  – Not econometrically estimated (calibrate to elasticities obtained from estimation of other functional forms – LES/AIDADS)
Proposed Demand System (1): Private Consumption via AIDADS

• An Implicitly Directly Additive Demand System:
  – Invented by Powell and Rimmer in early 1990s
  – Goal of getting better performance for LR GE simulations
• Additivity: appropriate for broad groupings of goods
• Rank 3 = very flexible Engel curves
• \(3n-1\) parameters govern the following:
  – Subsistence quantities (demanded regardless of price)
  – Marginal budget shares at subsistence level of income
  – Marginal budget shares for infinite income
• With \(2n-1\) parameters focused on behavior at low income levels, this is good for poverty analysis
AIDADS Projections: per capita Shares for China: 1997-2025

Note: based on calibrated version of estimated demand system in Reimer and Hertel, assuming constant prices.
Consumption Growth in China: 1997-2025
Comparison with other F.Forms: 1995-2020 Projections, NICs

Deviations from AIDADS (%)

Source: Yu et al., 2003
Poverty Analysis

• To estimate poverty impacts of policy, need to deflate income with cost of living at poverty line; current approaches include using:
  – observed consumption bundle, if you can get it!
  – national CPI
  – food price index
  – explicit expenditure functions, but different for each group of households in the country

• AIDADS predicts spending patterns at poverty line using common preferences, nationwide: establishes common “poverty level of utility”

• Evaluate true cost of living, by computing expenditure associated with poverty level of utility for given prices
ASEAN: budget shares

Consumption per capita, 1997 US$

GTAP proxy for $2/day

Consumption per capita in 1997

- Grains, other crops
- Meat, dairy, fish
- Processed food, beverages, tobacco
- Textiles, apparel, footwear
- Utilities, other housing services
- Wholesale/retail trade
- Manufactures/ Electronics
- Transport, communication
- Financial and business services
- Housing, education, health, public services

per capita and poverty budget shares differ
The consumption side poverty impacts of China’s growth are driven by higher food prices and lower services & manufactures prices.

\[ cdiff = - \sum_{n} \left( pcshr_n - povshr_n \right) p_n \]

Decomposing C-diff in ASEAN

The consumption side poverty impacts of China’s growth are driven by higher food prices and lower services & manufactures prices.
Proposed demand system (2): Leontief Regional Hhld Utility Function

• McDougall shows (TP#20) that must account for varying elast of expend wrt utility in CDE in order to get “top level” CD system right:
  – “Cost” of private utility varies with income
  – Induces regional household to substitute towards government and savings
  – As a consequence, share of C in net national expenditure falls with rising per capita income, shares of G, S rise

• Can circumvent this “feature” by substituting Leontief utility fnc for regional hhld:
  – While plausible, no empirical basis for rate of decline of C
  – Greatly simplifies code and analysis, teaching too!
Proposed demand system (3): Estimation

• Early work involved estimation on ICP data:
  – Conforms with international literature
  – But requires transition matrix to GTAP sectors
• Reimer first to directly estimate on GTAP data
• Estimate on all authentic countries in GTAP:
  – Budget shares evaluated at producer prices
  – W/R/T margins become separate demand category
  – Tremendous variation in per capita income
  – Price variation from border wedges only
GTAP- and ICP-based Estimate Yield the Same Behavior

Source: Reimer and Hertel
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GTAP Version 6.1 Estimates

Budget Shares vs Expenditure (V6.1) with upper bound on gamma
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Proposed Demand System (4): Calibration

• Need for Calibration:
  – Estimation assumes common preferences but national eccentricities as well as unmeasured price variation are reflected in error term
  – Demand system must predict observed per capita expenditures to conform with GTAP v.6 benchmark

• Calibration Strategy:
  – Leave subsistence quantities unchanged: these are determined based on basic human requirements
  – Adjust min/max marginal budget shares in equal proportions:
    • Preserve overall shape of expenditure share curves
    • Retain adding up property of demand system

• Examine Results for China:
  – Predicted based on international preferences
  – Calibrated to fit observed 2001 consumption shares
Estimated and Calibrated Budget Shares for 'Grains, Crops' and 'Meat, Dairy, Fish'

Note: In the case of China, the international demand system under-estimates meat consumption.
Note: In the case of China, the international demand system under-estimates textiles and apparel consumption.
Note: In the case of China, the international demand system over-estimates housing, education and health spending
Limitations and Future Directions

• Aggregation:
  – Estimation at 10 good level = maximum
  – Below that form composite goods

• Complexity: estimation and calibration are extra steps that may be required for new aggregations (when countries or composites change)

• GTAP-based estimation has limited price variation, but doesn’t appear to matter much:
  – since AIDADS is restrictive in price space
  – need to extend f. form to permit greater flexibility (Paul Preckel is working on this)