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Overview

1. Introduction

2. Microsimulation models
   - What is a microsimulation?
   - Micro-accounting models
   - Behavioral microsimulations
   - Dynamic microsimulations

3. Macro-micro models
   - Why, what, and when?
   - “Sequential” macro-micro models
   - “Fully-integrated” macro-micro models
Distributional and poverty impact analysis: Counterfactual macro models

- CGE models
- Rely on representative agents
- Ex-post (decomposition of shocks) and ex-ante analysis
- Examples
  - Trade policy
  - Resource (aid) booms and Dutch Disease
  - Pension and tax reforms
Distributitional and poverty impact analysis: Micro approaches

- Analysis of micro databases
  - household surveys
  - tax and social security records
- Using a wide range of techniques
  - Descriptive
  - Econometric
  - Simulation
- Use of information on individuals or households
- Typically ex-post, some ex-ante applications
Classes of micro approaches

• Applications and examples
  a) Treatment effects, Comparison of treated and non-treated groups
     - E.g. IFPRI evaluation of PROGRESA/OPORTUNIDADES
  b) Incidence analysis
     - Public incidence analysis (benefit and tax incidence)
     - Incidence of price reforms
     - Rather descriptive techniques
  c) Microsimulation models
Microsimulation models
What is a microsimulation?

• A microsimulation is a model based on a dataset that contains information on individual microeconomic agents (individuals, households, firms)

• Allows to simulate the effect of policies on individuals

• Typical: Household survey data with information on
  - Socio-economic characteristics of each individual
  - Employment status and corresponding income
  - Household expenditure
Schematic representation of a microsimulation

Micro dataset
- Income from food crops (and home production)
- Income from tea
- Household composition

Initial income distribution

Simulation of socio-economic processes
- Tea price falls
- Food prices rise
- Household size increases

Counterfactual income distribution with
- Food income
- Tea income
- Household size

Counterfactual poverty indicators
- e.g. Poverty headcount 45 %

Poverty indicators
- e.g. Poverty headcount 35 %
Microsimulation models for developing countries

• Most microsimulation models for high-income countries
  - Date back to the 1960s
  - Focus on incidence of tax and social policies
  - Examples: STINMOD (Australia), DYNACAN (Canada), Euromod (EU) etc.

• Microsimulation models for developing countries
  - Relatively recent
  - Focus on income generation (labor market)
  - Applied not only to public policy
  - No need for “multi-purpose” tax and transfer models
Classes of microsimulation models

- Micro-accounting (arithmetical) models
  - Capture 1st order effects
  - No behavioral response of microeconomic agent

- Behavioral models
  - Capture 2nd order effects
  - Microeconomic agents change behavior in response to price changes
  - Can be “reduced-form” or “structural”

- “Dynamic” vs. “static” models
  - Typically: “dynamic” = Time dimension, “aging” of information
  - Sometimes: “behavioral” = “dynamic”
Micro-accounting models: Theoretical foundation

- Basis of every microsimulation: reference distribution computed from survey data

- To provide a simple formal framework:
  - Indirect utility of household $i$: $V_i(p, y_i) = U[x^M(p, y_i)]$
  - Effect of a marginal change in income: $\Delta V_i = V_y^i \Delta y_i$
  - "Equivalent" variation of income: $\Delta y_i^* = \Delta V_i / V_y^i$

- Complete equivalence between welfare change and change in income metric (once marginal utility of income has been set)
Impact of a price change

- Policy change that affects prices
  \[ \Delta V_i = \sum_j V_{ij} \Delta p_j \]

- Shepard’s lemma
  \[ V_j = -V_y^i x_j^M (p, y_i) \]

- Using
  \[ \Delta y_i^* = \Delta V_i / V_y^i \]

- Gives
  \[ \Delta y_i^* = -\sum_j x_j^i \Delta p_j \]

- Change in welfare income metric due to price change equal to change in cost of consumption basket
Implications

- Theoretical “justification” of the micro-accounting approach
- Can be generalized
  - “consumption” of labor or other factors
  - households being a net suppliers of goods
- Note: Consistent with existence of changes in behavior
- Message: Behavioral change can be ignored at the margin
Micro-accounting in practice

1. Prepare the dataset, e.g.
   - Composition of household income
     - Unskilled/skilled labor income
     - Capital income
     - Land income
     - Taxes, transfers, and subsidies
     - Consumption patterns

2. Perform an experiment, e.g. increase in a specific transfer by 10 percent and/or decrease in food prices by 10 percent

3. Compare initial and counterfactual income distributions
Some applications

- Many tax and social security microsimulation models have micro-accounting features
  - EUROMOD, STINMOD

- Tax and social policy changes, e.g.
  - Atkinson, Bourguignon, Chiappori (1988): Comparison of incidence of European tax and benefit systems

- Developing country applications, e.g.
  - McCulloch (2003): The impact of structural reforms on poverty

- Some macro-micro applications (example and further applications later)
Micro-accounting

• Advantages
  - Account for household heterogeneity (factor endowments, taxes and transfers, and consumption patterns)
  - Analysis of policy-relevant correlates from survey information, e.g. regions where certain types of households are concentrated
  - Relatively easy to implement

• Disadvantages
  - Not adequate for non-marginal changes
  - Typically, households’ factor endowments fixed – only the returns change (labor supply!)
Behavioral models

- Households respond to changes in prices/endowments
- Non-marginal effects
- Types of responses
  - Consumption: Quantity changes
  - Labor market
    - Labor supply
    - Occupational/sectoral choices (formal vs. informal)
    - Migration
  - Demographic behavior: Fertility and mortality
  - Education: Schooling choices
- Operationalization: Estimation of econometric model or calibration (or both)
Reduced form vs. structural econometric models

- Reduced form
  - Ad-hoc specification: Put all relevant variables on the right hand side (rhs) of the equation

- Structural model
  - Specific functional form to be estimated

- Example: Rural-urban migration
  - Direct and “earnings potential” effect of education
  - Structural model: Rural-urban earnings differential on rhs
    - Estimate two coefficients
    - the coefficient of earnings differences between rural an urban areas and another one for the direct effect
  - Reduced form model: Just education on the rhs

- Structural models: Identification problems
Behavioral models in practice

1. Prepare the database
2. Specify the logical economic structure of the model
3. Estimate (or calibrate) the behavioral relationships, e.g.
   - Occupational choice model (inactive – informal – formal)
4. Perform experiment, e.g.
   - 10 % decrease of formal employment
5. Compare initial and counterfactual income distributions
Example: Income generation model for Bolivia

1. Logic structure of the model: Make occupational choices (formal vs. informal employment) and earn wages/profits accordingly
2. Estimated model:
   - Component I: Choice model formal vs. informal employment
     - Estimated separately for heads, spouses, and others using logit
   - Component II: Income equations
     - Unskilled/skilled (formal) wages
     - Informal profits
### Example of estimation results: Income equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>log unskilled</th>
<th>log skilled wage</th>
<th>informal profits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>education</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.16)**</td>
<td>(13.53)**</td>
<td>(8.64)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(11.20)**</td>
<td>(7.96)**</td>
<td>(8.44)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp2</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.54)**</td>
<td>(4.72)**</td>
<td>(8.20)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robust t statistics in parentheses

* significant at 5%; ** significant at 1%
# A flavor of simulation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P0</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>Theil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial</strong></td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Point change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% point decline in formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>share unskilled</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% point decline in formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>share skilled</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% increase unskilled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wages</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% increase skilled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wages</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% increase in informal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>profits</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some applications

• Tax and benefit reforms
  - With focus on labor supply response
    - E.g. Blundell et. al (2000): Impact of working families tax credit
    - Numerous studies on indirect tax reforms with focus on consumption responses (based on estimated demand systems)

• Developing country applications, e.g.
  - Ferreira and Leite (2002): Distributional effects of educational expansion in Brazil
  - Bourguignon, Ferreira, Leite (2003): Distributional and poverty effects of Bolsa Escola

• Some macro-micro applications (later)
Problems and disadvantages of behavioral microsimulations

- Models require substantial investment
  - No "multi-purpose" microsimulation model
  - In some instances, micro-accounting methods may be more practical

- Models based on estimated relationship: Lucas critique applies and should be taken serious
  - Non-marginal changes
  - Long time spans
Problems and disadvantages of behavioral microsimulations

• Assumption 1: Time effect = Cross-sectional effect
  - Models estimated on cross-sections, but simulations dynamic

• Assumption 2: Transition modeled based on state comparisons
  - Choice models often based on state comparisons (informal vs. formal) ... not necessarily appropriate to model transition (from informal to formal)

• Labor supply models and Roy-type income generation model possibly inappropriate for rural settings
  - Lack of markets
  - Income diversification
  - Cumulative dynamics
Dynamic microsimulations

- Add a time dimension, “aging” of information
- Model changes in demo-economic behavior and demographic processes
  - Age structure
  - Human capital accumulation
- Typically based on various data sources
  - Standard household surveys
  - Demographic and health surveys
  - Census information
  - Population projections
- May have behavioral and “accounting” components
- Can be combined with income generation models
Dynamic microsimulations in practice

1. Prepare and combine datasets
2. Define procedures to “age” information
   - Specify and estimate behavioral relationships
   - Introduce “static” procedures, e.g. reweighting of household weights
3. Validation
4. Perform experiments
5. Compare initial and counterfactual income distributions
Example: Dynamic microsimulation for Côte d’Ivoire

- Dynamic microsimulation model for Côte d’Ivoire
- For each year of a period of 15-25 years, the model produces income distributions
- Model simulates on individual level
  - Fertility
  - Marriage
  - Household formation
  - Mortality
  - Migration
  - School enrollment of children
  - Labor supply and earnings
- Validated on historical data
A flavor of simulation results

- Dynamic microsimulation used to analyse economic impact of educational policies – Grimm (2005)
- A flavor of results: Only reforms that include a huge adult literacy program focused on women and the rural population will dramatically reduce illiteracy
- Growth effects of such a program
  - Growth gain (obviously) depends on the changes in the returns to education
    - 0.3 point gain if returns to education are constant
    - -0.9 point loss if returns decrease
    - 1.8 point gain if returns increase
  - Growth gains are 0 if informal sector share remains constant
Some applications

- Several dynamic microsimulation models for tax and social policy analysis for developed economies
- To my knowledge: Except for Cogneau and Grimm (2002) and Grimm (2005) none in developing countries
Problems of dynamic microsimulations

• Projection of long-term demo-economic developments without modeling “growth”
  - Structural change
  - Interaction between growth and endowments (e.g. changes in educational endowments not exogenous)

• The “constant parameter” assumption may be even more problematic
  - Model parameters are often estimated from cross-sectional data

• Lack of focus
  - Possibly overambitious to aim at an empirical model of socio-economic change in all its facets
Macro-micro models
Why combine macro and micro tools?

• Account for heterogeneity
  - MACRO models ... use representative agents and fail to account for intra-group inequality
  - MICRO models ... take into account the full heterogeneity of the population

• Capture non-linearities
  - MACRO models ... have difficulties to capture non-linearities of individual behaviour
  - MICRO models ... can easily model discrete choices on individual level
Why combine macro and micro tools?

- Model transmission channels and perform counterfactual analysis
  - MICRO models ... are typically reduced form and therefore difficult to use for counterfactual analyses
  - MACRO (CGE) models ... model transmission channels explicitly

- More solid database
  - Reconciliation of national accounts and household survey data
  - Informing macro data by micro sources and vice versa (SAM construction)
What a macro-micro model captures?

- **Macro level**: General equilibrium effects
  - Macroeconomic constraints

- **Factor markets**: Changes in factor prices
  - Segmentation
  - Resource endowments

- **Goods markets**: Changes in goods prices
  - Segmentation
  - Price setting

- **Household/individual level**: Heterogeneity
  - Human/physical capital, land endowments
  - Demographic composition
  - Preferences
  - Market access
When to apply macro-micro models?

- Poverty and distributional impact analysis when external shocks/macro policy changes and social (micro) processes and micro policy changes are expected to have general equilibrium effect.
  - Commodity price shocks, resource booms
  - Huge aid inflows (Scaling up ODA!)
  - Droughts

- External shocks / macro policy changes
  - Commodity price shocks, resource booms
  - Huge aid inflows (Scaling up ODA!)
  - Droughts

- Social (micro) processes and micro policy changes
  - Demographic transition
  - Expansion of education
  - HIV-AIDS
  - Large-scale cash-transfer programs
Classes of Macro-micro models

1. Without feedback from the microsimulation to the macro model (sequential model)
   a) With micro-accounting micro module
   b) With behavioral micro module

2. With feedback (integrated model)
   - Requires a “structural” microsimulation (why?)
Schematic representation of a sequential macro-micro model

Macro model
- Macro Accounting (RMSM-X), CGE (123), Econometric

Linkage aggregate variables
- Factor prices
- Goods prices
- Employment changes

Microsimulation
- Microaccounting
- Behavioral microsimulation
A CGE plus micro-accounting model for Latin America

- Global CGE-model used to simulate different trade liberalization scenarios for Latin America
- Micro-accounting models for
  - Brazil
  - Chile
  - Colombia
  - Mexico
- Link variables
  - Urban and rural wage rates for skilled and unskilled
  - Urban capital rental rate
  - Rural composite capital+land remunerations
  - Food prices
  - Non-Food prices
  - Real per capita income
Initial data inconsistencies: factor shares in SAM’s value added and household incomes
Factor shares by per capita income percentiles:

- Brazil
- Mexico
- Colombia
- Chile
A flavor of results: Headcount-growth elasticities under different lib scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>FTAA Full Dist</th>
<th>FTAA FullLib</th>
<th>Dist Neutral growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further applications

- More applications on developing countries
  - Chen and Ravallion (2003). Impact of China’s WTO accession on household welfare
  - Friedman and Levinsohn (2002): Impact of Indonesian crisis on poverty
A CGE plus behavioural micro model for Brazil

- Macro model: Standard recursive dynamic CGE-model
- Labor market: Unskilled and skilled
  - Unskilled labor imperfectly mobile between agricultural and non-agricultural sectors
  - Skilled labor perfectly mobile
  - Segmentation assumptions supported by econometric evidence
- Imperfect mobility of unskilled labor modeled by very simple function

\[
MIGR_l = \chi_l^m \left( \frac{AWAGE_{Nagri,l}}{AWAGE_{Agri,l}} \right)^{\omega_l^m} - 1
\]
The link between the macro and the micro model

- Link variables (endogenously determined in the CGE):
  - Factor prices for agricultural and non-agricultural labor
  - Factor prices for skilled labor
  - Sectoral (agriculture vs. non-agriculture) composition of the unskilled workforce
- In addition, we simulate that unskilled and skilled labor supplies grow at different rates
- The microsimulation is “forced” to reproduce the changes in the link variables given by the CGE
- On the microlevel we take into account the cumulative changes between 2001 and 2015
  - Simulation of one counterfactual cross-section
Components of the microsimulation

1. Reweighting procedure to account for changes in the skill composition of the workforce

2. Mover-stayer model that explains the choice of moving out of agriculture or staying there
   - Used later to simulate WHO moves out of agriculture
   - Estimated separately for household heads and non-heads (logit)
   - Uses data from “employment history” of the PNAD

\[
Pr(ob(move_{msh} = 1 \mid X_{msh})_{msh} = F(\alpha_{msh} + X_{msh} \beta_{msh} + \varepsilon_{msh})
\]

3. Earnings equations
   - Used later to simulate new incomes
   - Estimated using Ordinary Least Squares

\[
\ln w_{uagr} = \alpha_{uagr} + X_{uagr} \beta_{uagr} + uw_{uagr}
\]
How the simulation works

1. Reweighting to account for the change in the skilled/unskilled labor ratio

2. Unskilled labor moves out of agriculture until the new share of unskilled labor in agriculture given by the CGE is reproduced

3. Wages/profits are adjusted according to the CGE results taking into account
   - the changes in the skill composition
   - the sectoral movements of unskilled labor from agriculture into non-agricultural sectors
What kind of behaviour is modelled?

- Determinants of the choice to move or not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heads</th>
<th>Non-heads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Head’s choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landowner</td>
<td>Non-remunerated Landowner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Livestock owner</td>
<td>Livestock owner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some results:
What is passed to the microsimulation in a Business as Usual (BaU) scenario

- Exogenous (also in the CGE) assumption on changes in skill composition:
  - Growth of skilled labor 2.0% annually
  - Growth of unskilled labor 1.6% annually

- Variables determined in the CGE
  1. Labor demand in agriculture stagnates
     - Employment in agriculture declines by 5 % points
  2. Annual real wage growth rates:
     - Unskilled in agriculture: 1.7 %
     - Unskilled in non-agriculture: 0.9 %
     - Skilled: 1.3 %
## Micro results BaU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All households</th>
<th>Non-agricultural households</th>
<th>Agricultural households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>level</td>
<td>change</td>
<td>level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC income</td>
<td>314.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>351.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gini</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population %</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contr. to P0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further applications

- Robilliard, Bourguignon, Robinson (2001): Poverty and distributional impact of the Indonesian crisis
- Bussolo, Lay (2003): Poverty impacts of trade liberalization in Colombia
Integrated macro-micro models

- Fully integrate behavioral microsimulations into an economy-wide modelling framework
- Several attempts, different approaches
  - Cogneau and Robilliard (2006)
    - Madagascar, poverty alleviation programs
    - Complex income generation model, less sophisticated macro model
  - Rutherford, Shepotylo, Tarr (2004)
    - Russia, poverty effects of WTO accession
    - Increase the number of “representative households” to 50000
- Field for future research despite (or because of) the many difficulties involved